G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22 7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900

(municipal)

File No. 39 of 00

Serial Nos. 1–19

Papers Filed.

B

Month October 02.

SUBJECT.

Huts for the Sweepers of the Bangalore municipality

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

Commissioner's

LATER REFERENCES

municipal 4 of 02

Secretary

N. Pattabhi

Memo submitted for orders

Note

On the 19th March 1904 the Presidt of the Bangalore City Mcl Commrs submitted for sanction 3 estimates for the aggregate sum of Rs 2,185/- on account of 18 Constructed huts, for the sweepers of the Bangalore City Mcl, under the following circumstances:-

: no 6 in Cl. 200-93

When Plague broke out in the City in 1898 the Mcl sweepers were located partly in Ganganman Chavry and partly near the Agrahar tank. These places became unsuited to them as plague began to spread amidst them. Men in consultation with the Plague Comrs, the Mem Presidt Mcl Pillai & decided to get 50 huts

Proceds no 142 Dy 17.9.00 & in Plague Rr papers

constructed near the Oopparihalli serve as health sheds for the sweepers, as on account their habits and avocations could not be conveniently accommodated in other health sheds.

Up to the 1st Octr 1899 the Presidt had power to sanction out of Plague funds estimates up to Rs 1000/- on account of works connected with plague preventive measures So the late President sanctioned the construction of 32 sheds costing in all Rs 2880/-

vide Presdts no 533 dt 30 12 99 (Rs 1 in Plague Rs papers)

When the Presidents power was withdrawn in Govt Progs. No Pg 537-5 Dated the 30th Septr 1899 a sum of Rs 2100/ was

[illegible] on account of

[illegible] copy put up

the cost of the remaining sheds in the monthly Plague Budget for January 1900. Sanction thereto was declined on the ground that no provision therefor under the head "erection of sheds" had been made in the Plague Budget ~~for~~ of the City for 1899-1900, which had been previously received from the President thereupon the President explained that the omission to provide for the sheds in the Budget for 1899-900 was due to an oversight and that a supplemental Budget therefor would be submitted. In reply the Plague Commr said that he could not sanction the cost of Plague sheds.

Sgd No 5217 Dy 11.1.00
H 2

5. Subsequently, ~~,~~ recd

an extract from the

[illegible] of the Chief Plague

[illegible] Banqueroute on the

[illegible] of locating the

[illegible] at a

[illegible] from the town the

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] requested

[illegible] Plague Commissioners

[illegible] to the [illegible] of

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

from the Municipal funds and pointed out the source in the current year's budget to meet the charge.

The sheets have already been combined and the contractors are stated to be pressing for payment.

Thereupon the President was asked to state whether the combination of 18 sheets for which estimates were submitted had been approved of by the Board, whether the 32 sheets sanctioned by the President had been completed and paid for and if

x no 7 in Lz. 20-93

to when and from what-
funds, and lastly whether
the 18 sheets in question had
also been completed.

In reply, the President
reports that the estimates
were not placed before the
Board and their approval
taken as the amount was
to be met out of Plague Funds
and as none of the
ordinary items of plague
expenditure need to be laid
before the Board as they
were directly accounted for
by the Plague Fund and his
approval obtained that
the 32 sheets referred to are
left in an incomplete state,
a sum of Rs. 17-53-4-8 having

Sheets nos. 281 by 14 5 or

been paid to the contractor out of the Plague Funds, and 3, that the 18 sheds also have not been completed and are not therefore occupied by the sweepers

Submitted for orders

SWJ 23/5/01

Having regard to the fact that the Municipality has to provide accommodation for the sweepers, the President may be requested to place the matter before the Board and obtain their approval for the sum of Rs 4311-11-4 required for the completion of the 50 sheds on which an expenditure of Rs 1753/4/8 is stated to have been already incurred from Plague funds, the amount available out of the sum of Rs 3000/-,

Rs. as P.

Cost of 32 sheds - 3,880-0-0
already paid
refunds — 1753-4-8
we require — 2,126-11-4

Cost of 18 sheds
Sanction is
asked for — 2,185-0-0

Total 4,311-11-4
not required.

provided in the Current
Years Municipal Budget
under the head VIII Public Works
(K) other works originally
being appropriated for
the purpose & the balance
provided for in the Succeeding
Years Budget.

Sub to Secty for orders.

FB

30/5/1901 To the 3rd Councillor.

1. The matter may be
placed before the Munic
Council again. But if
the Sheds are not to be
occupied at all, there
may be no use in con-
tinuing the work and
Spending good money after
the bad.

They thought of the things
in 98, apparently Commenced
and Completed in 1899 and
in 1901, the future Stable
incomplete and unroofed.

It is unlikely that the huts left in an incomplete state have not further deteriorated. Perhaps it may be as well to draw the President's attention to this, and to suggest that if the huts are not likely to be used, it may be economical to sell the materials.

23/7/1907

1/ The matter was recently

places before the Board and the following resolution was arrived at :-

Resolved that, as the Board had no voice in the matter, they cannot accept any responsibility in that connection".

The President suggests that the huts may be sold and the proceeds credited to Govt.

Submitted for orders with a draft approving of the President's suggestion.

Bkk 17.9.01

But the Health Officer having suggested the construction of huts, the Board recently decided to sanction the proposal for want of funds. Please put up a draft calling for a copy of the Health Officer's letter to the Municipality

Letter No 7173 dt 5.9.01

Read & approved
3/10

Read & approved
3/10

The above proposal having been called for the President

President submitted them with his c/o 138 dated 23/10/01 and stated that a further reference received from the Health officer would be considered at the meeting of the Municipal Board to be held on 31/10/01.

With his letter c/o 138 dated 14th November 1901 the President submits for the orders of Government a copy of the Board's resolution which is to the following effect.—

"The Board, on reconsideration of the subject, resolves in modification of the previous resolution to take up the work of completing the buildings of the Sh[illegible]

2. to move Government to waive their claims for the sum already spent upon the work by Government, &

3° to provide a sum of Rs 2000 for the purpose in the revised Budget that should be brought up next month

Submitted for orders.

[illegible] 20/11/07

1° The question is how the Government could waive their claims for the sum of Rs 1753 4 ? already charged on the work from [illegible]

[illegible]

for the Succession is not
a legitimate charge on ---
Pleasure funds. It is
therefore necessary that
the Municipality should
repay the amount already
spent in 2003 annual
instalments for which
purpose, ~~they~~ the Board
may be asked to ~~for~~ make
provision in the Municipal
Budgets.

~~The~~
Submitted ~~to Clerk~~ for order.

[illegible]

[illegible] & the 3rd Councillor.

The order proposed
may be passed.

18/12

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

With reference to the Councillor's order overleaf enquiring why the Govt should be requested to waive its claim, if the sum of Rs 1753-4-8 already spent on the work has been charged to Plague Funds and is not treated as an advance to the Municipality, the Offg Pres begs to submit that, from enquiries made in the Comptroller's office, it has been ascertained that the amount has been finally charged to Plague Funds without showing it as an advance to the Municipality.

Recommendation for orders as to whether the said amount may now be directed to be treated as an advance to the Municipality so as to be consistent with the order requiring its repayment in 2 or 3 annual instalments.

It is true that we have not asked the Govt to pay us this money (1753-5-4) spent out of Hague Fund. But the expenditure being legally chargeable to Govt, they have asked us to waive it. An order may now be passed, I think, that we cannot waive it & that the Govt of Bengal pay up the amount in instalments.

To the 3rd Comm (Comm) 15/11/1905

10/12
(4112)

[illegible]

23. Orders were accordingly issued debiting the entire cost of the 50 sheds to the City Municipal Funds, and treating the amount as a loan to the Municipality. (vide Govt Progs: no 12457-S / L7 200-93 dated the 20th Jan 1902)

24 This order having been placed before the Board, the following resolution was arrived at :-

" Resolved that, as the expenditure was not incurred with the sanction of the Board, they are not prepared to accept it"

25 In submitting the Board's resolution the President solicits a reconsideration of the decision

+ Amount paid on the work from Plague Funds- 1753-4
Amount required for completing the work - 4311-11-
Rs 6065-11-

vide [illegible] 0270 913

decision of Government regarding the adjustment of Rs 1753-4-8 out of the Municipal funds, and represents as follows -

" The work was undertaken as a purely plague preventive measure and paid out of Plague Funds with the approval of the Plague Commissioner. No objection to such a course was received from the checking (Plague Commissioner's) office. This practice continued till the close of September 1904, when the President's power was withdrawn. The shed subsequently remained in an incomplete state for want of funds lately, at the instance of

President who had power sanctioning Estimates upto under para 10 of Govt Pro: 872-94 dt 313 & 21st Octr Page 195 of the Compilation of Regns & Rules) seems to have used the Expenditure which not be checked & objected to Plague Commrs Office. As soon as Pioneers were withdrawn, it was stopped.

Health Officer, the Board on re-consideration decided to take up the work and complete the sheds on the express understanding that the amount already spent from Plague Funds so far back as 1898 and 1899 should not be debited to the Municipal Funds. As the project for the construction of the sheds was not originally laid before the Board and their sanction obtained thereto, the Board are not prepared to accept the charge already incurred" In these circumstances, the President solicits that the Board's orginal request to waive the claim for the said sum of Rs 1753-4-0

Mag

may be granted.

Submitted for orders.

21/3'99

26. When Govt. Spent lakhs on improvements in the City, the Board was not consulted. This being so, it is not understood why the Boards Sanction should have been obtained for incurring this small Expenditure ~~which~~ in the interests of the Municipality. The President may, if approved, be informed that Govt. are not prepared to reconsider the orders already passed.

Subd to Lett.

11/4/1902

27. [illegible]

28. The expenditure incurred by Government under sec 92 of the Regulations is sanctioned by Regulation VII of 1799. But where no sanction has been obtained for the expenditure, & where the objection to the expenditure cannot be removed by Government, they are to recommend the case for the orders of the Court of Directors.

(Sd) J. Stuart
Secy
7/45

Draft sent 31/5

29. The above orders was duly communicated to the President in Govt Progs: No. 1822† / 27.20B.9 of the 16th May last.

†Serial No 20

The matter was placed before the Munc Board & the following resolution was arrived at

Resolved that Govt: be asked to re-consider the matter as the sections (92 & 93 of the Amended Munc: Regulation VII[+] of 1899) quoted do not apply to this case".

In submitting the above resolution, the President requests that the decision already passed in the matter may be reconsidered by Govt: as the sections referred to are taken to have been framed in connection with the regulation of expenditure incurred on the Water Works & may have been contemplated to include

Govt

[+] Spare copy subd.

Such permanent and costly works as the ~~sewerage~~ city drainage and other large schemes but not to apply to such petty works as the sweepers' huts which were undertaken in 1898, that is, nearly two years previous to the framing of the Amended Bunds Regulation

Submitted for orders.

Sd 17/6/02

17/6/02

It seems to be clear that ~~strictly~~ strictly obedience to the Amending Act be given to the Amending Section 93 so as to bring it into force in respect of huts built since this was passed. It is also doubtful whether huts for coolies and ~~the~~ the works of similar nature fall within the provisions of the

S. 92. Even if the liability of the Mt. Shes to be enforced, I think it should be based on other grounds.

Submitted to the 3rd Councillor.

25/7/1902

25/7/1902
(8.03)

[illegible]

Hon
30/7

A draft except the above
[illegible]

H.
29/10/17

The Diwan
through the
1st Commissioner.

27/10/17

1st Technical letter about [illegible]
the servant in question ought not
to be discharged [illegible]
I would waive [illegible] recom-
-mended by the President [illegible]
Board were not
consulted before this expenditure
was incurred. Her conduct
does not therefore seem
[illegible]

This need not [illegible]
however - I should
approve [illegible]
[illegible]
Council. [illegible]

Secy.

Lucie writing the abo
I have thought of
visiting the Lines in
Question in company w.
the President, Vice
President and Heads
Offices — Welcome to attached
to join again on the
subject however
morning —

[illegible]

I mistook the hut
on the morning of the
3d Instant in com-
-pany with the Presi
-dent Vice President
and the Heads of Offices.
The huts are 1000
incomplete — The con-
-tractors have not
in addition to the
payment already
made to them (in 53
1753-4-5) they find
to get about £. 2000
which they had Engi-
neers working for without
avail — the Lots I'd
not seem to be an
objection — first
observation when I view

hurts and complete them, the Board did not evidently know (1) that the contractors had got to the Island some two thousand ft, of it, that the workmen for whom the huts were intended were unwilling to occupy them - (2) the Board thinks that an enquiry on these points should be made before [illegible] by all means write to the President asking that the question be again placed before the Board - It is clear however that the claim for Rs 1753-4--8- must be waived. The decision quoted by the Lt Governor does not seem to be applicable to a case of this kind.

G Rosenthal

Copy of the Regulation put up.

7131

Much glad if I may show some of your work when I am in Europe next

12.14.02

Head of the Division

12/14/02

12/14/02

Resubmitted to the Dewan.

WD

23/9/1902

D.O 24/10

I have seen these
huts. Much money
has been spent unless
~~since used~~ these huts
are turned to some use
the money spent already
will not be turned to
good account. I decided
in consultation with the
Def Com that these huts
which are not convenient
and unsuited to Europeans
may be utilized by the Coolly
population who are said
to be overcrowded in their
present quarters and
cheaper or pleastered inside
and ~~out~~ whitewashed. Mangalore
tiles or Zinc sheets will be used
for roofing. The Health-Officer
in his letter tells me that a few of these
huts are well-built & have a verandah round
as a ~~protection~~ protection against rain, but
are ill-ventilated & require
a small repair & lowered for another
three months and the materials
used of which they are made
may be reused for additional rooms
if necessary. If this is done, I
think some better system of latrines should be introduced
in this Cantonment & hence-forward
all new huts should be constructed
on the model of the latrines in the Def Com
Hospital & those at the above mentioned
huts.

26/9/02

By T.P. 10923
£1710

SECTION Sanitary

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

FILE NO. City Bangalore, 19th March 1901

SERIAL NO.

No. 171/3

From

The President, Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith for favor of sanction three estimates for the aggregate sum of Rs. 2185/ on account of 18 huts for the sweepers of the Bangalore City Municipality, the construction of which was undertaken under the following circumstances —

2. When plague broke out in Bangalore in 1898 the Municipal Sweepers had been partly located in Ganganna Shetty and partly near Agrahara tank these huts

became unsuitable to them as plague began to spread amidst them. Then in Consultation with the Plague Commissioner the then President Mr. Puttanna Chetty decided to get 50 huts constructed near the Oopparhalli Village Southwest of Lal-Bagh to serve as health sheds for the sweepers as on account of their habits and avocations they could not be Conveniently accommo-dated in their health sheds.

3. Up to the 1st October 1899 the President had power to sanction out of plague funds estimates up to Rs 1000/- on account of Works &c Connected with the Plague preventive measures & the late President sanctioned the construction of 32 sheds Costing

in all Rs. 3880/.

4. When the Presidents' power referred to above was withdrawn as per para. 10 of Government order No. Pg 337-50 dated the 30 September 1899, a sum of Rs. 2185/. was provided for on account of the Cost of the remaining 18 Sheds in the monthly Plague Budget for January 1900 sent to the Plague Commissioner with this Office letter No. 533 dated the 30th December 1899. Sanction thereto was declined on the ground that no provision therefor under the head "erection of Sheds" had been made in the Plague Budget for the Bangalore City for the year 1899-1900 which had been previously received

from the President, (Vide Plague
Commissioners docket No 5217 of
the 11th January 1900). Thereupon
a reference was again made
to the Plague Commissioner in
this Office letter No 630 dated the 24th
January 1900 explaining that
the omission to make due
provision in the Budget for
1899-1900 for the Shedo in ques-
tion was the result of an over-
sight, that a supplemental
Budget therefor would be
forwarded and requesting
sanction for the said estimate
as the work ~~had been~~ already
started was nearing Completion.
In his reply docket No 6039
dated the 8th February 1900, the
Plague Commissioner said that
he could not sanction the cost

out of Plague funds

5 Then on receipt of memo No 574 dated the 21st August 1900 from the Plague Commissioner forwarding an extract from the diary of the Chief Plague Officer of Bangalore on the ne-cessity of locating the Munici-pal Sweepers at a distance from the town &c, and calling for proposals to house the Sweepers in properly built lines, reference was again made to the Plague Commissioner in this Office letter No 1452 dated the 16th September 1900 requesting sanction to the Cost of the 18 Sheds under report being paid out of the plague funds. In reply he stated in his docket 1753 dated the 27th October 1900

that the Construction of the 20 for the Municipal Sweepers was not a proper Charge on the plague funds and that the estimates sent therefor could not be sanctioned from those funds.

6. As the Contractors who did the work as per late Presidents orders are pressing for payment, I beg that the amount of the estimates herewith submitted may be sanctioned out of the Municipal funds. The Charge may be met out of the sum of Rs 3500/- provided in the Current years Budget under the head VIII Public Works (K) other works original.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

SECTION—

FILE No. 2,9,1,6,5 From

FROM N

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

To
THE President and Comr
Bangalore City

From the Same
2819 14/5/01 L2109

Dated 12/4/01.
Despatched
Received R.R. 1836
General No. 13536
L. P. 250-93
Enclosure No

Her letter etc 17/3 dated 19th March 1901 submitting for sanction 3 estimates for constructing 18 huts for the sweepers of the Bangalore City municipality.

With reference to the above has the honour to enquire whether the construction of 18 sheds for which estimates have now been submitted, was

approved of by the Board
to request that
and if so a copy of the
resolution ~~should~~ may be
submitted as early as possible.

It should also
be stated whether the
sanctioned by the President have been
32 sheds, ~~advisedly~~, completed and
~~paid~~ paid for and if so when & from what funds;
~~and~~ whether the 18 sheds for which
estimates have ~~also~~ been
completed ~~and if so what~~;
how many of these sheds are now occupied by
and ~~whether~~ the Municipal
keepers ~~are housed in all~~
~~any of the sheds~~

are now submitted

B C.

J B
6/12/1901
For Secretary

KJS: 10-4-01.

By no 1350
12109

Office of the Municipal Commission,

SECTION

City, Bangalore, 14th May 1901.

No 281

FILE No.

From SUB No.

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Commission,

BANGALORE CITY.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Departments,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No 135-36 / 7 7200-93 dated the 12th April 1901 Calling for further information regarding the Sweepers' huts built in Bangalore in 1898-99, I have the honor to state that the estimates in question were not placed before the Board and their approval taken as the amount was to be met with the Plague funds, and as none of the ordinary items of plague expenditure used to be laid before the Board as they were directly accounted for by the

late Plague Commissioner and his approval obtained.

2. The 32 Sheds referred to are left in an incomplete state. A sum of Rs 1753-4-8 out of the total estimate of Rs 3880/- has been paid to the Contractors out of the plague funds as per particulars noted in this Office letter No 189 dated the 9th October 1900 to the late Plague Commissioner. In the last named reference the entry of Rs 115-4-8 against the 17th January 99 may be kindly caused to be altered to Rs 115-4-0. The 18 Sheds, the estimates for which are pending Sanction, have also not been Completed and are therefore not occupied by the Sweepers.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

President.

SECTION 9

FILE No.

No.

Office of the Municipal Commission,

City, Bangalore, 27th July 1901

From

THE PRESIDENT,

MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

BANGALORE CITY.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Rev. Depts
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the Correspon-
-ence ending with this Office letter
No. 261 of the 14th May 1901 requesting
Sanction to the Cost incurred on
account of Certain Sweepers' huts
in the Bangalore City, I have the
honor to submit herewith a Copy
of the notice of the 22nd July 1901 recew
from B. Sankaranarayana one of the
Contractors who built the said
huts and to request that sanction
may be kindly accorded at an
early date to the estimate already

already submitted as pressing demands for payment are being received.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant.

President.

WHC

Bangalore,
22nd July 1901

To

The Vice President
City Municipality
Bangalore.

Sir.

With reference to your docket No 539 of 10th December last addressed to Mr. J. F. Saldana, Advocate, the undersigned begs to remind that no payment for construction of sweepers' huts has yet been made, although it is six months since the promise for early settlement was made.

The undersigned further begs to inform that the claim is accumulating in the shape of interest and watchman's salary paid month by month.

The undersigned therefore gives final notice that if the amount claimed is not settled within 30 days from

date, immediate steps will be taken for the recovery of the same with all further costs.

I beg to be.

Sir,

Your B. Lankaran Selby

D.P.W. Contractor

Cabletown Jetty

Copy.

[illegible]

President.

15

SECTION Miscl.

FILE No. 3966 Read again -

SERIAL No.

From C of G City no 71 dt 5/9/01

Letter no 171 dated the 19th March 1901 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, submitting for sanction, 3 estimates for the aggregate sum of Rs 2193/ on account of ~~repairs~~ ~~erection~~ 18 huts the construction of which was undertaken to accommodate the sweepers of the Bangalore City Municipality -

Read -

Letter no 251 dated the 12th May 1901 from the same office stating, with reference to this office docket no 135-36 of the L.7. 200-93 12th April 1901, that the estimates in question were not placed before the Board and their approval taken as the amount was to be met out of Plague Funds and as none of the

ordinary items of plague expenditure used to be laid before the Board, and that the 18 sheds in question, being left in an incomplete state, are not occupied by the sweepers as they were accounted for by the Plague Comm.

PL 207
In 2448 9/8/99.
R.T. 200-93

Order thereon.

~~It is observed that the Municipality has to provide accommodation for the sweepers,~~ The President is requested to place the matter before the Board and obtain their approval to an allotment for the sum of Rs 4311-11-4 ⊕ recovered for the completion of the 50 sheds on which an expenditure of Rs 1758-4-8 is stated to have been already incurred from Plague funds.

2. It is observed that the idea of constructing these sheds was entertained in 1898 and their construction was apparently commenced in 1899 and the huts are still incomplete

⊕ Estimated cost of 32 sheds = 3880-10
Amount already spent from Plague funds } 1753-2
Balance req'd 2126-10
Estimated cost of 18 sheds } 2185-1
Total 4311-11

and unoccupied. It is unlikely that these huts left in an incomplete state have not further deteriorated. The attention of the President is drawn to this ~~clause~~ fact and also to the long delay that has ~~allowed~~ to occur in making a reference on the subject & he is informed ~~unfavorable~~ ~~weather a factor~~ that if the huts are not likely to be used, it may be economical to sell the materials

DD
7/8/1907.
For Secretary
Dr J 7/8/07.

To
The President Mel Lonn
Baguio Ca City

SECTION Misc. 18

FILE NO. [illegible]

SUB NO. 1 Read -

Letter no. 33 dated the 27th July 01 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, submitting a copy of the notice given by the contractor of the sweepers' huts in the City and requesting early sanction to the estimates submitted by him with his previous reference -

Order thereon No. 3161 / L2200-93 dt 21/8/01

~~Acknowledge receipt~~

The very early submission of the Hon'ble President's reply attention is invited to Govt. Procs no. 2448 dated the 9th instant, and L7.200-93 he is requested to expedite his reply thereto as urged

[Submitted on L157 with the assembly bulletin 12 August 1901 this ended here - placed on file 21468/200/93 9 8 01]

Dy. No. 299

Secretary to Municipal Commr. Bangalore City -

Sir The explanation
has been given in the margin
of the draft answer
DMJ 19/8/10

No. [illegible]

SECTION [illegible]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

FILE No. City Bangalore, 5th September 1901

SERIAL No. 9

From

The President, Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departts
Bangalore

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 2448 / 27200-93 dated the 9th August 1901 regarding the sweepers huts partly built in 1899 as a plague preventive measure in the Bangalore City, I have the honor to state that the matter was placed before the Board at the meeting of the 30th August 1901 and a copy of their resolution is herewith submitted. The Board are of opinion that as [illegible]

work was originally started without their sanction and as a purely plague preventive measure, they cannot allot any money therefor out of Municipal funds

2 The huts may be sold and the proceeds credited to Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed Servant

President

22

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of 30th August 1901.

13. Read Government Proceedings No. 2448—L. F. 200-93, dated the 9th August 1901, requesting the President to obtain the Board's approval for an allotment of Rs. 4,311-11-4 required for the completion of the 50 sheds built for the accommodation of the Municipal sweepers in the Bangalore City.

Resolved that as the Board had no voice in the matter they cannot accept any responsibility in that connection.

Sd/ M. S. Narayan Rao
President

Copy.

President.

23

SECTION [illegible]
FILE NO. 39/iii

To

The President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore City.

His no. 71 dated the 5th September 1901 in regard to the sheds built in the City for the accommodation of the Municipal sweepers.

With reference to the above, I had the honour to request that the President will be good enough to send to this office copies of the Health Officer's communication to the Board suggesting the construction of huts to accommodate the sweepers, and of the Board's resolution thereon declining to sanction the proposal on financial grounds.

"By order"

Ri 16/1

Asst. Secy.

P.R. 608
6300
L.I 200 – 93 9/7/10/1901

From the Same
1380 23/16/01 L688

L 7

24.10.1

gv 30/10/01

Submitted for order the receipt of the Board of this day be awaited?

Org 31/10/01

Records

Please put with the Rep if received

8/11

Yes. Org 13/11/01

Case see memo put up. fell 14.11.01

Resubmitted. In Proc of 31/10/01, the Board ordered to modify their former proposals and to provide Rs 2000 in their revised Budget (Please see Resn etc 16 received with residents' letter etc w 1520 1/11/01) May the submission of a separate comm be awaited

Org 14/11/01

Call for fresh proposals on the subject in ref to the resolution ferred to. 1/2

B. H. D

Org 16/11/01

These proposals have been received with letter etc 1588 13-11-01 and are submitted memo continued

Org 20/11/01

149 94 1898-99. L688

5-392

24.10 a

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Bangalore City Municipality.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

FILE NO.

Dated 23rd
Despatched
Received
} October 1901.

General No. 138
No.

Enclosure No. 4

General and Revenue

Secretary's docket No. 6300 / L 7 2009/3.

Dated the 17th October 1901

Submitting Bangalore Health officers report re. the construction of huts for the Municipal Sweepers and the Board's resolution thereon dated the 30th August last.

Office of the Municipal Commission,

City, Bangalore, 17th October 1901.

No. 138/4. 232.

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Commission,

BANGALORE CITY.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Departments,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

As called for in your docket No. 6300 dated the 14th October 1901 I have the honor to submit herewith Copies of Health Officer's reference regarding the construction of Sweepers' huts in the City and the Board's resolution thereon dated the 30th August last. A further reference from that Officer on the same subject has since been received and forms a subject for the Board's consideration in the

Comm.

Current months meeting to be held on the
31st Instant.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt. Servant

M Munroe Asst Secy

For President.

Gen. 31.] 27 1901/02
~~1899~~

Encl 1 & 2

From The Health Officer
Bangalore City

To The President
City Municipal Commission
Dated 28th } Bangalore
Despatched } March 1901
Received }

General No. 1659
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Sir,

In forwarding an extract from my inspection notes Dated the 26th March I have the honor to state that I Consider it desirable that all Municipal Sweepers and Sweepers Sirdars be

grou

Given Quarters to live in.

Available the Executive Engineer may
(
3, be asked to prepare estimates.

I have etc.

Ld P. Dalpu
Asst Adjt Genl

"Copy"

Municipal Dept
For President

29

Health officer's Inspection

Notes D/. 26.3.01

Inspected Chetty Samanna's field near annamma's temple 41 Sheds. Municipal Scavengers and Europeans live. The Sheds afford hardly any protection. No latrines provided. The place is fairly clean at present.

X X X.

Ext to ack.

Sd/. P. Palpu

Health officer.

D/. 28/.3.01.

Copy

Municipal Secy

For President

28/4

is necessary that they should be given suitable in convenient localities. I request the favour of orders being passed on the subject.

I have &c.

Sd/. J. Dalgety

Health Officer.

Copy

Municipal Secretary

For President

Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of 30th August 1901.

* * *

8. Bangalore Health Officer's
letter No 106 of the 15th July 1901
suggesting the desirability of pro-
viding the City Municipal
Sweepers with huts in a suitable
place.

Resolved that the finances
of the Board do not permit
of this work being undertaken
at present.

Sd/ M.S. Narayana Rao
President.

"Copy"

W Winterbottom Esqr
For President

Read Bangalore Health Officer's letter No. 581, dated the 28th September 1901, requesting reconsideration of the Board's resolution dated the 30th August 1901, regarding the question of providing huts for the Municipal Sweepers in Bangalore and proposing the construction of a few huts every year.

The Board on reconsidering the subject, resolved 1st to modify the resolution passed on the 30th August 1901, 2nd: to take up the work of completing the building of the sheds gradually, 3rd. to move Government to waive their claim for the sum already spent upon the work by Government and 4th to provide a sum of Rs. 2.000/- for this purpose in the revised Budget that should be brought up next month.

[illegible]

Office of the Municipal Commission,

City, Bangalore, 14th November 1901.

No. 158/1

From

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
BANGALORE CITY.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with my letter No 138 dated the 14th October last on the subject of sweepers' huts which were constructed partly in 1898 out of plague funds and since left incomplete for want of funds, I have the honor to state that the Board on reconsideration of the whole matter, decided at the meeting of the 31st October 1901, in modification of their resolution

dated the 30th August last, to take up the work of completing the sheds in question, gradually, out of Municipal funds, provided the Government were pleased to waive their Claim for the sum already expended thereon from the plague funds.

In view to the completion of a few sheds in the Current year as also to meet the demands of the Contractors to whom payments is pending for a long time for the work done a few years back, an additional provision of Rs 2000/- has been proposed by the Board to be provided in the revised Budget to be submitted to Government shortly.

A Copy of the Boards' resolution

dated the 31st October 1901 referred to above is submitted herewith for the orders of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt servant

[illegible]

President

WSK

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of 31st October 1901

16. Bangalore Health Officer's letter No. 581, dated the 28th September 1901, requesting reconsideration of the Board's resolution dated the 30th August 1901, regarding the question of providing huts for the Municipal sweepers in Bangalore and proposing the construction of a few huts eve - r

Resolution. The Board on reconsidering the subject, resolve 1st, to modify the resolution passed on the 30th August 1901, 2° & take up the work of Com-pleting the buildings of the Sheds gradually, 3d to move Government to waive their Claims for the sum already spent upon the work, by Government and 4th & provide a sum of Rs 2000/- for the pur-pose in the revised Budget that should be brought up next month.

(Sd) M. S. Narayana Rao
President.

"Copy"

Witnessed "

M.R.K. President.

SECTION Plans

FILE No.

S. DIAL No

1900-901 L969

OFFICE 1898-99

4369

From 9-xii-01.

THE PRESIDENT,

Bangalore City Municipality.

(15)

To 15-8 dated 15 Nov 1901

and acting Secy's under

1901

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore,

~~General and Revenue Departments~~,

BANGALORE

Dated 6th

Despatched 7 December 1901

Received 12/12/01

General No 187
No.

Enclosure No 2

Remarks by the 1st Commissioner on the Bangalore Municipality diary of the 29th November last enquiring as to what steps are being taken about the Sweepers' Sheds

Inviting reference to this office letter No. 15-8 dated the 15th November 1901 and reporting the steps taken in the matter

Sd to Secretary.

After the 3rd Commissioner's case passed on memo in the Sanitary file, same may be sent to 1st Commissioner for information.

Sd

13/12/1901

In the same file. No 12457-8926 Sanitation

S. N. Rao

for Secretary

Office of the Municipal Commission,

City, Bangalore, 6th December 1901.
7

No 187
E. 2

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Commission,

BANGALORE CITY.

To Asst

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

~~*General and Revenue*~~ Local Boards *Departments,*

BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to the Vice Chancellor's remarks on the Bangalore Health Officer's accompanying diary of the 27th October last received in this Office on the 3rd Instant, enquiring as to what steps have been taken regarding the Sheds built for Sweepers, I have the honour to invite kind reference to my letter No 158 of the 16th November 1901 submitting a Copy of the Board's Resolution dated the 31st October last

proposing to complete the con-
-struction of the sheds gradually
out of Municipal funds and
making a rebate provision
therefor to the extent of Rs 2000/-
in the revised Budget for the
Current year which is under
the Consideration of the Board
A Copy of the Board's reso-
-lution referred to above is
herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant

[illegible]

President.

WRE

Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of 31st October 1901

16 Bangalore Health Officer's letter No. 581, dated the 28th September 1901, requesting reconsideration of the Board's resolution dated the 30th August 1901, regarding the question of providing huts for the Municipal sweepers in Bangalore and proposing the construction of a few huts every year

The Board on reconsidering the subject, resolve, 1st to modify the resolution passed on the 30th August 1901, and 2d, to take up the work of Completing the buildings of the Sheds gradually, 3d to move Government to waive their Claim for the sum already Spent upon the work by Government and 4th to provide a Sum of Rs 2000/- for this purpose in the revised Budget that Should be brought up next month

(Sd) C. S. Narayana Rao
President.

"Copy

C. S. Narayana Rao
President

4 (BOP) 3066
30.11.07

Diary of the Health Officer Bangalore City for Wednesday the 27th Novr 1907

There were 8 cases of Plague today with 6 deaths. The total number now 19.

In the 2 Division there were 2 cases:

Subbarao 30yrs Male Dyeing living in 178 Urupete is suffering from Plague septicaemic form. The patient is low.

2 Abdul Karim 10yrs M. Musk. living in Cottonpet Cross is suffering from Plague Bubo (Rt Axilla) The patient was sent to camp. His brother died of Plague yesterday

4 The Boy ...

...

Ex 195
3.12.07

...

...

...

3. Sreenivasalu - 14 Yrs h
Komati living in
407. Avenue Rd. is
suffering from Plague
Both (Rh Fever)

4. Ramasubbiah 22 yrs
Hr. Komati living
in above house is
suffering from Plague
Bubo (Rt Inguil) - Both
the patients are kept
in the house as the
Relatives were unwilling
to take them to Camp
Permission given by
Mr Arnold.

5. Puttamma 14 Yrs F
Nagaratter living in
No 3 Kempanna's
Lane is suffering from
Plague Septic. Her sister
in Law is suffering
from Plague in the same
house. Her patient is
Low. The people were

allowed burial in the house.

6. Appaji 14 yrs. Hindu boy
then died of Plague Bub
(Rt cervical) in 24/2 Appa
Rao lane. The contacts
will go to Appakalli
Camp in the morning. Dead
rats were found in the
house.

In 5th Division there was
1 case:

7. Pillamma 33 yrs. F
Telugu died of Plague
Bub. (Rt femoral) in No
33 Halay Bazar Road.
The locality is infected.
The contacts - her husband,
was sent to Appa-
kalli Camp.

In 7th Division there were no
1 case.
Kondu 50 yrs. F
Telugu living in 145/10
Ingoora Rd. to
suffering fr. Plague
Glandular + Pneumonic

to Camp. Shaw
municipal keeper.
Her relations were
complaining of want
of suitable accommodat
for them. The Question
of providing the swagers
with suitable accom.
modation is still under
consideration.

J. Ralph
Ho.

SECTION— Miscl. 6.

FILE No. 796 50

Read again

SERIAL No. —

Letter no 171 dated the 19th march 1901 from the President of the municipal Commission, Bangalore City, submitting for sanction, three estimates for the aggregate sum of Rs 2185/- on account of 10 sheds out of the 50 sheds proposed to be constructed to accommodate sweepers of the Bangalore City -

Read - Correspondence endng with letter no 158, dated the 13th November 1901, from the same officer

R.L. 1264

No 12467-50/L.7 200-93 28/1/1902

his estimates to P. & Copies of — to compt

Order thereon

Tho the total number of sheds proposed to be constructed for the sweepers was 50, the President submitted estimates to the extent of Rs 2185/- for

for the construction of 32 sheds

In Govt Progs: No. 337-50 of the 30th September 1901, however, the Prescribed power was withdrawn & he has, therefore, applied for Govt sanction to the construction of the remaining 18 sheds for which estimates amounting to Rs 2185/- have been submitted The amount required to complete these 50 sheds is Rs 4,311-11-4 as per marginal details. The Commissioners have resolved to take up the work of completing the sheds out of their own funds provided the Govt waive their claim for the sum of Rs 1753-4-8 already spent upon them from Plague Fund. Govt sanction the present estimates and observe that the construction of sheds for the accommodation of the City

⊕ Estimated cost of 32 sheds 3885

Amount already paid from Plague Funds - 1753

Balance required - 2126

Estimated cost of 18 sheds for which sanction is now applied for - - 2185

Total Rs 4311
Amount required

48

Municipal trustees
a proper charge on
Municipality and
the amount already
on that account for
Plague Funds should
be borne by the Board
President is therefore
to provide in the City
Capital Budget for
repayment of the amount
expended from Plague funds
~~for the above mentioned~~, viz
1753-5-4 in two or three
instalments, as also for
completion
~~the completion~~ of the unfinished
work. The Comptroller is requested
to treat this sum as a loan to the
Municipality and report the recoveries
made from time to time
for his order
BB 24/1/1902
for Secty
24/1/

To

The President, Bombay City
Municipal Corporation
returning the attached
estimates
with further reference
to the proposal
of the Board

49 Dy 11896

Office of the Municipal Commission,

City, Bangalore, 6th March 1902

No. 275/11

From

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
BANGALORE CITY.

SECTION - Genl
FILE No. - 57 1902

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No 12457-58 / L F 1003-93 dated the 28 January 1902 sanctioning the estimates for the Completion of the 18 Sheepers' sheds now at work with the sum of Rs. 7953-8 already spent should also be borne by the Board &c I have the honour to submit for information of Government Copy of the Board's resolution dated 24 February 1902 The works in

work undertaken as a purely
plague prevention measure can
paid out of Plague funds with
the approval of the Plague Com
missioners, the objection to such
a course was removed from the
Election (Plague Commissioners)
Office Act, practice continued
till the close of September 1901
when the Speaker's powers
were withdrawn. The Shed was
subsequently removed in an un-
finished state for want of funds.
Nobody at the residence of the Health
Officer, the Board, are reconsider-
ing the matter with a view to
[illegible] the Shed
[illegible]

from Plague Funds so far back as
1898 and 1899 should not be de-
-bited to the Municipal Funds
As the project for the construc-
-tion of the Sheds in question
was not originally laid before
the Board and their sanction
obtained thereto, the Board are
not prepared to accept the Charge
already incurred. I beg that
the present decision of Govern-
-ment regarding the adjustment
of the 1953-4-8 out of the Munici
-cipal funds may be kindly re
-considered and the Boards'
original request to Government
the Claim thereto granted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt. Servant

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of 27th February 1902.

× ×

Government Proceedings No. 12457-58—L. F. 200-93, dated the 28th January 1902, for the completion of the 18 sweepers' sheds and observing that the sum of Rs. 1,753-4-8 already spent should also be borne by the Board as it is a proper charge on the Municipality.

Resolved that as the expenditure was not incurred with the Sanction of the Board, they are not prepared to accept it.

Sd/- M. S. Ramaswamy Mu
President

Copy.

[illegible]
President

[illegible]

13456
2 0

4781/2

DEPARTMENT

(18)

SECTION

FILE NO.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Received

Dated

Despatched

Received

No. 6393

No.

Subject

ference will be dealt with
ter the issue of the order
w pending the approval
the Secretary.

9/5/02

Submitted for orders.
e favour may be asked
obtain an agreement from
President & the interest
need be recovered on the
dy
10/5/1902
rait disposal of
the other refe
29/8

Question to inform
his Office whether any
agreement in case of the
Loan should be
executed by the President
of the Municipality and
whether the Loan
any rule

Submitted.

Approved,

No 6393/216

12 24.4.02

SPECIAL AUDIT ____ DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

Bangalore, 12th April 1902.

15

From

E R. SUBRAYER, Esq., B.A.B.C.E.

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To H.V.Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A.M.L.

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General and Revenue *Dept*

Sir,

216

With reference to Pro: No.12457-8/LF200-93 dated 28th January last directing that the sum of Rs.1753-5-4 already spent from Plague funds in January and October 1899, for constructing sheds for accommodating sweepers of the Bangalore City Municipality, be treated as a loan to the Bangalore City Municipality, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to inform this Office whether any agreement on account of the loan should be furnished by the President of the Municipality and whether the loan is to bear any interest.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

L1856

55-C 1898-99. 28.

SECTION.—~~From~~

FILE No. 39 for THE PRESIDENT,

SERIAL No. 1 *Bangalore City Municipality.*

To.

(19)

Submitted

Please see the draft
ter herewith submitted,
ding the approval of
Secretary. This being
ere reminder to this
rious reference may
ply be filed ~~of~~ read in the
pt referred to File
ng 8/5/02 10/5

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

Dated
Despatched 2° May 1902
Received

General No. 343
No.

Enclosure No. 1

In requesting early
Orders of Government
in the matter of ad-
justment of Rs. 1753-
4-8 being the Cost
of Construction of
Sweepers' Sheds Sub
-mits for reference
and kind return a
Notice received from
the Contractors to
whom large amount
is due

L 2
6 5 02

Office of the Municipal Commission,

City, Bangalore, May 2, 1902 ~~189~~ .

No. 343
En 1.
From

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
BANGALORE CITY.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to my letter No 275 dated the 10th March last regarding the adjustment of Rs 1753-4-8 incurred out of Plague Funds towards the Construction of Sweeper's Sheds in the Bangalore City in 1898 I have the honor to submit herewith for reference and obliging return a notice received from one of the Contractors to whom a large amount is due and who has been pressing for payment. The early orders of Government may be kindly

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]

President

[illegible]

Bangalore city
28th April 1902

The President

city municipal commission

Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 539 dated 10th December 1900 addressed to Mr J. Saldhana, advocate in reply to his letter dated 15th November 1900 regarding my claims towards construct sweeper's huts near Goparl ally I beg to inform you that the amount claimed therein has not been settled as stated in your above, even after waiting for over 15 months.

I beg therefore to give you notice that if my claim as particularized below, is not settled within a fortnight, legal steps will be taken to recover the same with costs.

→ T O

Amount due for constructing
Sweepers huts near Goparhally Rs As Ps
650-0-0
Interest on the above at 12 %
per annum from February 1898
to April 1902 (49 months) 318-8-0
Watchman's salary for 49
months at Rs 8/- per month 392-0-0
Total Rs 1360-8-0

I beg to remain,
Sir,
your most obedient servant
B. Shankara Shetty
Contractor, D F W
Cubbon Petta
Bangalore City

SECTION— Plague 60

FILE No — Read —

SERIAL — 11 —

Letter no. 275 dated the 6th March 1902 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, requesting that, for reasons therein stated, the decision of Govt conveyed in Progs. no 1245-7-8 dated the 28th January 1902 in regard to the adjustment of Rs 1753-4-8 spent out of plague funds on the sweepers' huts at Bangalore may be kindly reconsidered

+ serial no 1

R L 185-1

Order thereon 1C-1-2- dt 16 May 02

dt 20-8-93

The expenditure incurred by Govt falls under section 92 of the x 93 Regulation as amended by Regulation VI of 1899. The v. l

constructed for the safety of the municipal servants. The Board's objection therefore seems to be untenable and Government decline to re-consider their order of the 28th January 1902.

3rd (1's order)

5/5/1902

To

The President, muml Comrs, Bangalore City.

62

FILE No. ——— Office of the Municipal Commission,

SERIAL No ——— City, Bangalore, June 4 1902 ~~1899~~.

No. ~~364~~ 5.
Encls 1.

From

THE PRESIDENT,

MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

BANGALORE CITY.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore in the
General & Revenue Departm-
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No 18221 / L 7203-94 dated the 16 May 1902 directing that the Cost already incurred on account of Sweepers' Sheds should be met out of Municipal Funds as the expenditure incurred in the Construction of such works falls under Sections 92 and 93 of the Amended Municipal Regulation VI of 1899, I have the honor to submit herewith a Copy of the Resolution of the Board dated the 29th May 1902 and to request the favor of decision already passed in the matter being reconsidered by Government.

is much as the Sections referred to are
seen to have been framed in Connection
with the regulation of expenditure incurred
on the Water Works and may have been
contemplated to include such permanent
and costly Works as the City drainage
and other large Schemes, but not to apply
to such petty Works as the Sweepers' huts
which were undertaken in 1898, that is, nearly
two years previous to the framing of the
Amended Municipal Regulation.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

64

Extract from the Proceedings of an Ordinary Meeting of the Board held on Thurs-day the 29th May 1902

× × ×

Government Proceedings No 18221 / L J 203-94 dated the 16th May 1902 Stating that the expenditure incurred by Government on account of Sweepers' Sheds in the Bangalore City falls under Sections 92 and 93 of the Amended Municipal Regulation VII of 1899 And it should be therefore met out of Municipal Funds

Resolved that Government be asked to reconsider the matter as the Sections quoted do not apply to this Case.

Sd/ h S Narayen Rao
President.

"Copy"

[illegible]

Office of the Municipal Commission,

City, Bangalore, 21st August 1902.

No. 46

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Commission,

BANGALORE CITY.

SECTION Plague C

S. O. No. 1

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Departments,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to my letter No: 364 dated the 31st may last requesting reconsideration of the order passed by government regarding the adjustment of the cost already incurred in the conservation of sweepers charges and still pending payment to contractors, I have the honor to solicit the favor of obtaining the early orders of government to the charge being debited to Plague funds as being prayed for by the Board as the contractors concerned are clamouring for payment of their bills which

pending adjustment for other [illegible]

I have the honor to be
sir
Your most obedient servant.

Winfield Scott
For President.

SECTION — Plague 57

FILE No. 39 of 1902

SERIAL No. 1 Record —

Govt Pro: no 12457-8
L.7200-93

dated the 28th January
1902 observing
Construction of sheds
accommodation of
~~the~~ City Municipal
is a proper charge
the Municipality
directing that the
of Rs 1753-5-4 already
spent on that account
from Plague Funds should
be borne by the Board

2, Corresponden
ing with letter no 46
the 21st August 1902
the President of the
Municipal Commissio
galore City, soliciting
re-consideration
above order.

5590-1
In L.7200-93 9/14/1902

Order thereon

regard to the reasons
adduced by the Board.
Government are pleased
to ~~dismiss~~ waive
their claim over the
Municipality for the
sum of Rs 1,753-5-4 spent
on the sheds referred to from Plague Funds.

(2). On a personal inspection of these sheds by the Dewan ~~but~~ it appears that ~~these sheds~~. Much money has been spent on them and the latter ~~are~~ should be turned to some use the money spent already with ~~not be~~ turned to some good account. It ~~The Dewan~~ has been accordingly decided in consultation with Commissioner, that huts which are convenient and use to sweepers, may ~~be~~ utilized by the population

Sub 2

The Dewan's order is silent on this point. But this para is in accordance with the views of the Govt. Hence this para has been added only to appear in the order

population who are said to be overcrowding verandahs and other houses in the city. The walls may be painted with chunam or plastered in mud and white-washed, Mangalore tiles zinc sheets ~~may~~ being used for roofing. 5/ The Health Officer has stated that a few of these, Coolies ~~Coolies~~ are willing to occupy the huts and pay a reasonable rent. As an ~~inducement~~ initial inducement to this class of persons rent may be remitted for the first three months & a small rent levied for another three months, ~~and~~ the maximum rent of what is considered reasonable ~~may~~ be reached gradually in course of a year or so. If the trial succeeds some more huts may

be built. 4/ The amount required ~~is necessary~~ to complete the foregoing buildings in the economical manner proposed and above will be sanctioned from State funds. ~~Action~~ The D.C. Coorg will be taken by him is requested ~~by Commissioner to give~~ to carry out effect to the above mentioned views. these views.

The collections ~~from~~ of rent ~~collected~~ from these sheds should be credited to the State revenues.

Secy 6/10
Sng 26/9/02

To
JB
29/9/1902
The Presdt. Mil Coorg
Bangalore city &
D.C. Coorg Bangalore Dist.
& Comptroller.